

VSPC JUNE 10, 2008, MEETING
PACKAGE CONTENTS – AGENDA AND ACTION ITEMS

ITEM	PAGE NUMBERS
1. Agenda	2-3
2. Information protocol draft	4-5
3. Procedure rule amendments <i>(Also distributed 4/7/08)</i>	6-10
4. NTA screening tool	11-12
5. Project priority list http://www.vermontspc.com/VSPC%20Meetings/VSPC%20Project%20Priority%20List-ToVSPC.pdf	See VSPC Website
6. Draft request to PSB for modification of Attachment F dates	13
7. Re-draft of VSPC letter to ISO-NE	14-17
8. Powerpoint presentation of subcommittee, study group and project reports <i>Slides for non-action items will be posted by 5/23/08 at</i> http://www.vermontspc.com/VSPC%20Meetings/Forms/By%20Meeting.aspx	VSPC Website

VERMONT SYSTEM PLANNING COMMITTEE

AGENDA

JUNE 10, 2008, 9:30 A.M. – 4:00 P.M.
CAPITOL PLAZA HOTEL, MONTPELIER, VT

Action Items

Votes on approval of the following action items are scheduled for the meeting of June 10, 2008. All materials are in the meeting package. In addition, the procedure rule changes were distributed in accordance with the 60-day notice requirement on April 11, 2008.

- Information protocol (due to be filed with the PSB by June 20, 2008)
- Changes to the procedural rules of the VSPC
- NTA screening tool (due to be filed with the PSB by June 20, 2008)
- Project priority list
- Request to PSB for modification of Attachment F dates
- Re-draft of VSPC letter to ISO-NE

Agenda

1. Approval of the minutes of the March 11, 2008, meeting
2. Action items:
 - Information protocol (David Mullett)
 - Changes to the procedural rules of the VSPC (David Mullett)
 - NTA Screening Tool, due to (Bruce Bentley)
 - Project priority list adoption (Hantz Pr sum )
 - Request to PSB for modification of Attachment F dates (Deena Frankel)
 - Re-draft of VSPC letter to ISO-NE (Deena Frankel)
3. Subcommittee reports:
 - a. Energy Efficiency & Forecasting — Riley Allen, chair
 - i. Geo-targeting (Bruce Bentley)
 - ii. EEU restructuring workshop process consideration of alternative funding mechanism to fund NTA analysis for bulk system projects (Riley Allen)
 - iii. Coordination of demand-side management forecast (EEU) and load forecast (VELCO) (Riley Allen, Hantz Pr sum , Blair Hamilton)
 - b. Generation — James Gibbons, chair
 - c. NTA Screening — Bruce Bentley, chair
 - d. Procedures — David Mullett, chair

VERMONT SYSTEM PLANNING COMMITTEE

- e. Public Participation — Jenny Cole, chair
 - i. VSPC website progress
 - ii. Preparation for the public input process for the 2009 Long Range Transmission Plan
 - iii. VSPC public outreach
- f. Technical Coordinating — Deena Frankel, chair
 - i. VSPC process flowcharts
 - ii. VSPC input to 2009 Long Range Transmission Plan
 - iii. Schedule for flow of reliability deficiencies through VSPC process
- g. Transmission — Hantz Pr sum , chair
- 4. Study group reports
 - a. Coolidge Connector Interim Demand Response Study Group (Bruce Bentley)
- 5. Project updates
 - a. Gorge Area Reinforcement (GMP)
 - b. Lyndonville Electric Department (Ken Mason)
- 6. New business
- 7. Adjournment

VERMONT SYSTEM PLANNING COMMITTEE DRAFT INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROTOCOL

NOTE: This text represents the most recent completed draft of the Procedures Subcommittee. The Subcommittee is incorporating additional input and will present a further draft prior to the June meeting.

This Information Management Protocol (IMP) establishes the manner in which the Vermont System Planning Committee (VSPC) will manage information that is exempt from public disclosure by virtue of falling into one or more of the exemptions enumerated in Title 1 V.S.A. § 317(c), or is otherwise required to be kept confidential pursuant to federal, state or local statute, rule, order or other action of a governmental body of competent jurisdiction.

The following principles and procedures shall govern the handling of information by the VSPC:

1. The VSPC shall endeavor to make all information concerning matters before the VSPC publically available through the VSPC website and, upon request, in written or other electronic form, unless it has been determined through this protocol to be exempt from disclosure.
2. The VSPC recognizes that a tension exists between the transparency of its process on the one hand and the interests of homeland security, avoidance of the forfeiture of competitive advantage and trade sensitivity on the other hand. This protocol seeks to limit access to information when the party that controls the information in question can clearly establish a necessary exemption from public disclosure under 1 V.S.A. § 317(c) or other applicable confidentiality requirement.
3. The burden for establishing that information is exempt from public disclosure shall be upon the participant who controls the information in question, or such other VSPC member that challenges the public disclosure of the subject information.
4. A participant who asserts that information is exempt from public disclosure shall work with interested VSPC members to obtain appropriate bi-lateral agreements for non-disclosure from interested VSPC participants. The form of the bi-lateral agreement shall clearly state what information is exempt from public disclosure and what specific exemption the holder of the information believes applies. Such agreements may also contain a protocol for the sharing of confidential information in electronic form. The party asserting confidentiality shall provide notice to the secretary of the VSPC of the entry into any agreements under this section, and the names of the parties to such agreements.

5. The VSPC anticipates several categories of confidential information to arise in the ordinary course of its deliberations: Critical Energy Infrastructure Information¹ as defined by FERC Order Nos. 683, 683-A, 702 (as may be amended or superseded from time to time), customer account information, and information governed by the information disclosure and access to information provisions of FERC Orders 888 and 889 (as may be amended or superseded from time to time). Information that may qualify for exemption under this protocol is not limited to these categories.
6. If the VSPC or any participant disputes the assertion that information is exempt from public disclosure, the dispute may be submitted to the full VSPC for an advisory determination. Disputes that cannot be resolved by the VSPC may be taken to the PSB for resolution to the full extent that the PSB has jurisdiction thereof.

A list of documents that have been exempted from public disclosure, the dates and applicable exemptions shall be maintained in a public area of the VSPC website.

¹ Critical Energy Infrastructure Information is generally defined as specific engineering, vulnerability, or detailed design information about proposed or existing critical infrastructure (physical or virtual) that:

1. Relates details about the production, generation, transmission, or distribution of energy;
2. Could be useful to a person planning an attack on critical infrastructure;
3. Is exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act; and
4. Gives strategic information beyond the location of the critical infrastructure.

PROCEDURAL RULES OF THE VERMONT SYSTEM PLANNING COMMITTEE

PREAMBLE

The Rules set forth herein shall govern the operations of the Vermont System Planning Committee ("VSPC"), a voluntary association established in accordance with the Order of the Vermont Public Service Board entered in Docket No. 7081 on June 21, 2007, and referred to herein as "the Docket 7081 Order."

It is the intention of these Rules to facilitate implementation of the goals of the Docket 7081 Order, including but not limited to the goals of full and timely consideration of nontransmission alternatives in electric system planning, and meaningful public input into that planning.

SECTION I: MEETINGS

- A. FREQUENCY OF MEETINGS.** Meetings of the VSPC shall be held not less than quarterly.
- B. LOCATION OF MEETINGS.** Meetings of the VSPC may be held at any location within the State of Vermont, subject to the notice requirements of these rules. In determining the location of meetings, due consideration shall be given to providing opportunities for various regions of the state to host meetings, convenience of the Members, and the degree to which meeting location will facilitate opportunity for public participation.
- C. NOTICE OF MEETINGS.** Notice of the time, ~~and~~ place ~~and agenda~~ for VSPC meetings shall be published on the VSPC website, not less than twenty days prior to each meeting, and not less than five ~~business~~ days for subcommittee and special meetings. Links to the VSPC website shall be maintained on the websites of the Vermont Public Service Board ("PSB"), the Vermont Department of Public Service, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation, Green Mountain Power Corporation, Burlington Electric Department and the Vermont Public Power Supply Authority.
- D. AGENDA.** The secretary shall send out ~~and publish on the VSPC website~~ an agenda for each meeting of the VSPC ~~not less than five business days prior to the meeting~~. Both voting and nonvoting Members of the VSPC shall have the opportunity to identify items to be included in the agenda. In circumstances where a voting or nonvoting member believes that it is necessary to add an item to the agenda within five days prior to the meeting, that member shall send to the secretary a request for addition of the topic, and the basis for its requested inclusion, and the secretary shall promptly forward the request to the voting and nonvoting members of the VSPC. The decision of whether to consider the item shall rest in the sound discretion of the VSPC.

E. NOTICE OF INTENDED VOTES. ~~Notices of any VSPC meeting~~ The agenda shall ~~also~~ include indication of issues that are to be the subjects of votes at that meeting.

F. PARTICIPATION BY TELEPHONE. Both voting and nonvoting Members of the VSPC may participate in VSPC meetings by telephone, and the VSPC shall provide a call-in number and sufficient telephone equipment at the meeting location to facilitate telephonic participation. Participation by phone shall be considered as participation in person with respect to all VSPC matters.

G. ADDITIONAL MEETINGS. Should the VSPC determine that it wishes to hold meetings beyond quarterly intervals, either generally or on a specific occasion, notice of such meetings and the issuance of agendas for those meetings shall comply with the requirements of Sections 1.C, D and E above.

H. SPECIAL MEETINGS. Upon request of a voting Member for cause shown, the secretary shall notice a special meeting of the VSPC.

I. EMERGENCY MEETINGS. These Rules intentionally make no provision for emergency meetings, given the potential of such meetings to compromise both Member and public involvement. Should it be subsequently determined that a provision for emergency meetings is desirable, these Rules may be amended accordingly in accordance with the procedures for amendment.

J. CHAIR. The VSPC ~~shall may, at its first meeting each year,~~ elect from its voting members an individual to chair VSPC meetings, to serve for such length of time as the VSPC may determine. In considering the appointment of subsequent chairs, should the VSPC elect to fill that position, the VSPC shall give due consideration to rotation of the chair position among all segments of the VSPC.

K. USE OF ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER. Robert's Rules of Order shall be used in the conduct of VSPC meetings, unless in conflict with these Rules, in which case these Rules shall prevail.

L. QUORUM. Representatives of at least three of the five Sectors as established by the Docket 7081 Order must be in attendance at a VSPC meeting for any vote thereat to be considered valid and binding. With respect to Sectors having fewer than five Members, no quorum of members within a Sector shall be necessary, and a Sector vote may be cast by a majority of such Sector members present at any given meeting. If only one member of such Sector is present at a VSPC meeting, the one member may cast the vote for the Sector. With respect to sectors having more than five members, 20% of the members shall constitute a quorum.

M. MOTIONS. Both voting and nonvoting members of the VSPC may make and second motions at any VSPC meeting.

N. FACILITATOR. The VSPC may also designate a facilitator or other individual to run VSPC meetings and perform such other tasks as may be reasonably necessary to facilitate the efforts of the VSPC. Such person need not be a member of the VSPC.

SECTION II: MEMBERS

A. COMPOSITION OF VOTING MEMBERSHIP; VOTING SECTORS. The voting membership of the VSPC shall consist of:

1. one representative from each Vermont electric utility; provided, however, that each utility may designate an alternate who may act fully in the absence of the designated representative. The designation of the representative or the alternate may be changed in the sole discretion of the utility at any time or at any intervals; provided, however, that notice of any redesignation must be provided to the VSPC secretary by letter, facsimile or electronic mail not less than 24 hours before the start of any VSPC meeting for which the change is intended to be effective. Notwithstanding the notice provision of the preceding sentence, a member who is present at a VSPC meeting but needs to leave prior to the end of the meeting, may designate another member of his or her sector to act in his or her stead for a period not to exceed the remainder of the meeting, to the full extent permitted by law.
2. three members of the public, appointed for five year terms by the PSB in such manner as it sees fit. One public representative shall be appointed to articulate the interests of residential customers, one to articulate the interests of commercial and industrial customers, and the third to articulate the interests of environmental protection. The PSB may also appoint an alternate representative for each of the public members, and the alternate shall have full authority to act in the absence of the representative.
3. voting shall be by Sectors as established by the Docket 7081 Order.

B. COMPOSITION OF NONVOTING MEMBERSHIP. The nonvoting membership of the VSPC shall consist of:

1. a representative of each entity appointed by the PSB under 30 V.S.A. § 209(d) to deliver system wide programs, unless such an entity is also an electric utility holding voting membership in the VSPC.
2. a representative of the entity appointed by the Board to serve as SPEED facilitator under 30 V.S.A. § 8005(b).
3. a representative of the DPS.

C. PARTICIPATION BY MEMBER ORGANIZATION REPRESENTATIVES. In addition to its designated representative, each member organization may have additional nonvoting representatives participate in VSPC meetings and subcommittees.

SECTION III: SUBCOMMITTEES

- A.** APPOINTMENT OF SUBCOMMITTEES. The VSPC may appoint such subcommittees as it deems fit to carry out its purposes. Each subcommittee so created shall have a charge approved by the VSPC delineating its purpose and duration.
- B.** COMPOSITION OF SUBCOMMITTEES. Any subcommittee appointed by the VSPC shall have at least one of the public representative voting members as part of that subcommittee, unless none of the public representatives wishes to serve.

SECTION IV: CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION PROTOCOLS

- A.** PROTOCOL. Matters involving confidential information or alleged confidential information shall be addressed in accordance with a protocol to be adopted by the VSPC.
- B.** AMENDMENT OF PROTOCOL. The protocol of the VSPC regarding confidential information may be amended in the same manner as these Rules.

SECTION V: APPOINTMENT AND DUTIES OF SECRETARY AND ALTERNATE SECRETARY

- A.** APPOINTMENT OF SECRETARY AND ALTERNATE SECRETARY. The VSPC shall appoint a secretary and an alternate secretary to carry out the duties set forth in this section. Neither the secretary nor the alternate secretary need be members of the VSPC.
- B.** DUTIES OF SECRETARY. The secretary shall record all votes and proceedings of the meetings of the VSPC and shall sign the minutes of said meetings.
- C.** RECORD OF MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES. The secretary shall keep a record of the voting and nonvoting members and alternates of the VSPC.
- D.** FILING. The secretary shall file with the Vermont Public Service Board and the Vermont Department of Public Service or any other person any reports required to be filed under the Docket 7081 Order.
- E.** NOTICES. The secretary shall send out all required notices of meetings of the VSPC, and shall cause such notices to be posted on the VSPC website, and sent electronically to all VSPC members and subcommittee members.
- F.** EXECUTION AND PUBLISHING OF DOCUMENTS. The secretary shall have the authority to execute and publish documents on behalf of the VSPC.
- FG.** ALTERNATE. The alternate secretary shall carry out the duties of the secretary in the absence of the secretary.

GH. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT. In accordance with paragraph 69 of the Docket No. 7081 Memorandum of Understanding, VELCO shall provide administrative staff support to the VSPC and such staff shall be responsible for scheduling VSPC meetings in a timely manner, preparing meeting agendas, minutes, and reports required by Section VII of the MOU, creating and maintaining the VSPC website, and performing those other administrative tasks necessary to the functions assigned to the VSPC.

SECTION VI: AMENDMENTS

- A.** NOTICE OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT. Notice of any proposed amendment to these Rules, along with the text of the proposed amendment, shall be posted on the VSPC website and sent out to members electronically not less than ~~sixty~~thirty days prior to the meeting at which a vote on the amendment is proposed to be taken.
- B.** REQUIREMENTS FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT. Amendment of these Rules shall require approval of four of the five sectors identified in the VSPC Vote Tally Sheet approved by the Vermont Public Service Board in the Docket 7081 Order.
- C.** WAIVER. Any provision of these Rules may be waived at any meeting by the unanimous consent of the voting members present at that meeting.

Form for Selection of Transmission Analysis-Identified Projects for Non-Transmission Alternative Evaluation

Identity of the proposed upgrade (description or project number): _____

1. Is the proposed project's cost expected to exceed \$2,000,000? *(See note.)* Yes...
No...

If so, check "Yes" and continue to question 2; otherwise, check "No" and discontinue the alternative analysis screening.

2. Could elimination or deferral of all or part of the upgrade be accomplished through the use of non-transmission alternatives? *(See note.)* Yes...
No...

If so, check "Yes" and continue to question 3; otherwise, check "No" and discontinue the alternative analysis screening.

3. Is the likely reduction in costs from the potential elimination or deferral of all or part of the upgrade greater than \$1,000,000? *(See note.)* Yes...
No...

If so, check "Yes" and proceed to define the scope and timing of non-transmission alternative analysis; otherwise, check "No" and discontinue the alternative analysis screening.

Remember to sign and date this form.

This analysis performed by: _____ on _____
Name Date

Print Name

Notes, Examples, and Descriptions

- Line 1 Any transmission project whose capital cost is expected to exceed \$2 million (in year 2007 dollars, adjusted for escalation in future years using the Handy Whitman transmission cost index), including any reasonably foreseeable related projects, sub-projects, and multiple phases, should be reviewed for the applicability of non-transmission alternative analysis.
- Line 2 Non-transmission alternatives should be considered if the project can be altered or deferred with a reduction of existing peak loads by 25%. Recognizing that deployment of a load reduction program in a specific area takes time to organize and implement, the following assumptions including time and accrued load reduction should be considered when examining the load reduction:
- | Period | Magnitude of load reduction |
|---------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 year | 3% of peak load |
| 3 years | 15% of peak load |
| 5 years | 20% of initial peak load |
| 10 years | 25% of peak load |
- Line 3 The \$1 million is in year 2007 dollars, adjusted for escalation in future years using the Handy Whitman transmission cost index.

DRAFT LETTER TO PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD REQUESTING MODIFICATION OF DATES IN ATTACHMENT F

Note: This draft is conceptual only and has not yet been reviewed by legal counsel. The purpose of the draft is to seek VSPC support for the filing of a request that the Board modify certain dates in Attachment F of the MOU because the original dates are inconsistent with the Project Priority List being considered by the VSPC at its June 10, 2008, meeting. Any request for extension ultimately submitted to the Board must come from the Docket 7081 parties, as distinct from the VSPC.

[date]

Mrs. Susan Hudson, Clerk
Vermont Public Service Board
112 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05620

Re: Docket 7081. Request for modification of dates in Attachment F

Dear Mrs. Hudson:

The purpose of this letter is to request Public Service Board (PSB) approval for modification of certain dates contained in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in Docket 7081, approved by the Board on June 20, 2007. The MOU requires that the Vermont System Planning Committee (VSPC) file a Project Priority List with the Public Service Board, providing dates for completion of work related to reliability deficiencies identified in each Long Range Transmission Plan (LRTP) filed in accordance with 30 V.S.A. § 218c(d). The Project Priority List based on the 2006 LRTP was approved by the VSPC on June 10, 2008, and was submitted to the Board on [date of submission].

Some of the dates in the Project Priority List differ from the dates that were adopted by the parties in Attachment F of the MOU, and approved by the Board in Docket 7081. These changes have been proposed to ensure that the load forecasting and demand-side management forecasting that is now underway by VELCO and the Efficiency Vermont fully informs the timing of these projects. Coordination under the auspices of the VSPC of these forecasting efforts promises to enhance the work through shared assumptions and increased interaction among stakeholders that will benefit the approach to future projects. Furthermore, VELCO's next LRTP that is due July 1, 2009, will provide relevant updated analysis of the projects in question.

Because this extension represents a modification of an MOU provision, it is being filed by the Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc., (VELCO) as a Docket 7081 party, rather than by the VSPC. The VSPC at its June meeting approved the filing of a request for extension and the Docket 7081 parties have also indicated their concurrence with this request.

Please let me know if the Board requires further information about this request.

Sincerely,
[appropriate VELCO representative]

cc: Docket 7081 service list

~~April 18, 2008~~ June 20, 2008

Gordon van Welie
 ISO New England Inc.
 One Sullivan Road
 Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040

Dear Mr. vanWelie:

The purpose of the letter is to recommend that ISO New England Inc. (ISO) propose and adopt procedures to revise the process for determining what costs are eligible for region-wide cost allocation under the ISO New England Inc. FERC Electric Tariff No. 3 (the Tariff), specifically, to include costs incurred to support non-transmission alternatives (NTAs). The letter is filed on behalf of ~~the voting members of~~ the Vermont System Planning Committee (VSPC), an entity established by the Vermont Public Service Board (the Board or PSB) to ensure full, fair and timely consideration of cost-effective non-transmission alternatives. The ~~voting members of the VSPC~~ includes Vermont distribution utilities, the Vermont Electric Power Company as operator of Vermont's bulk transmission system, and three public representatives appointed by the Board as voting members and. ~~Nonvoting members include~~ the Department of Public Service, Efficiency Vermont and the Sustainably Priced Energy Enterprise Development facilitator.² as non-voting members.

Vermont's approach to electric system planning is designed for the provision of service to customers at least-cost. To achieve these ends, Vermont utility regulation recognizes the potential to cost-effectively defer or avoid transmission upgrades through investment in NTAs. In part, cost-effective NTAs may be funded at least in part through energy sales, the forward capacity market (FCM), the sale of ancillary services, or through enrollment in ISO demand response programs. However, while the Vermont planning approach emphasizes the potential equivalence of transmission and non-transmission alternatives, the incumbent system for funding Pooled Transmission Facilities has not directly recognized the costs to support transmission and NTA elements on a comparable non-discriminatory basis. Since it is important to the NEPOOL system that all bulk transmission facilities meet either market efficiency or reliability standards, and since the NTA costs that may be incurred as a part of Vermont's integrated planning strategies may be incurred, at least in part, to assure market efficiency and/or reliability on these systems, the VSPC believes that the ISO should open a dialogue on the necessity and reasonableness of adapting the regional funding mechanisms for Reliability Transmission Upgrades and Market Efficiency Transmission Upgrades so as to further policies that treat transmission and NTA resources deployed for system efficiency or reliability purposes on an equivalent basis for pool transmission funding purposes.

² Efficiency Vermont is the entity contracted by the PSB to fulfill the role of statewide Energy Efficiency Utility. The Sustainably Priced Energy Enterprise Development Facilitator is an entity contracted by the PSB in furtherance of of Vermont law related to the promotion of renewable energy and long-term stably priced contracts for such energy that are anticipated to be below the market price.

Developing policies that promote resource parity in transmission planning is explicitly articulated state policy. In 2005 Vermont General Assembly³ directed regulators and utilities to advocate at the ISO, in proceedings before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and in all other relevant venues, to support an efficient reliability policy that achieves:

...regional cost support for the least cost solution with equal consideration and treatment of all available resources, including transmission, strategic distributed generation, targeted energy efficiency, and demand response resources on a total cost basis.

Id. at Section 8(6). Vermont’s policy is to use, as a principal criterion for approving and selecting a solution to a system-wide constraint, “whether it is the least-cost solution to a system need on a total cost basis.” Id. at Section 8(2). For reliability-related projects in Vermont, subject to the review of the PSB, “regional financial support should be sought and made available for transmission and for distributed resource alternatives to transmission on a resource-neutral basis.” Id. at Section 8(4). The goals that underlie Vermont’s policies recognize that the strategies to assure efficient and reliable service should be pursued creatively so as to help reduce the costs incurred in the provision of electric service for all of society. In short, we strongly believe that NTAs could play an important role in ensuring system efficiency and reliability and that their value ought to be supported in full. To the extent that these resources can resolve system-wide constraints more cost-effectively than transmission, they should be eligible for the same funding treatment afforded a transmission solution.

All New England states have adopted policies that favor investment in energy conservation. Many support distributed resources, and many of these resources may be employed more cost-effectively than transmission investments in pursuit of efficient and reliable least-cost service. The VSPC believes that it is appropriate to reconsider the approaches currently being employed within the region to provide equitable financial support for NTA reliability and efficiency investments that can resolve a system-wide constraint at lower cost than a transmission solution.

The VSPC stands ready to work with the ISO and interested stakeholders to address this issue and looks to the ISO to take leadership in getting the matter under review.

Respectfully yours,

~~Members of the~~ VSPC
Signatories as attached

Attachments:

List of Signatories

Relevant Section of Act 61, 2005 Vermont General Assembly

³ Act 61
of the 2005 Vermont General Assembly, copy of relevant sections attached.

Jenny Cole
PSB Appointee representing residential consumers

~~Janette Bombardier, IBM
PSB Appointee representing commercial customers~~

James Moore, Vermont Public Interest Research Group
PSB Appointee representing an environmental organization

Hantz Pr sum 
Vermont Electric Power Company

Bruce Bentley
Central Vermont Public Service

Douglas Smith
Green Mountain Power

Harry Abendroth
Vermont Electric Cooperative

Munir Kasti
Burlington Electric Department

Todd Allard
Vermont Marble

Bill Powell
Washington Electric Coop

Ron Gagnon
Barton Village Electric Department

Jonathan Elwell
Village of Enosburg Falls Water & Light Department

Duncan Hastings
Village of Johnson Water & Light Department

Jack Collins
Village of Ludlow Electric Department

Kenneth Mason
Village of Lyndonville Electric Department

Craig Myotte
Village of Morrisville Water & Light Department

Richard Suitor
Village of Northfield Electric Department

~~Ellen Burt
Town of Stowe Electric Department~~

George Lague
Swanton Village Electric Department

[Eric Werner](#)
[Hardwick Electric Department](#)

[Rosie Gillen](#)
[Hyde Park Electric Department](#)

[John Morley](#)
[Village of Orleans Electric Department](#)