

Vermont System Planning Committee  
Geotargeting Subcommittee  
June 20, 2013 9:30-12  
Agenda

- Welcome (9:30-9:40)
  - Review Agenda
  - Review Meeting Summary from last time
- Screening Tools -- Review 7081 and 6290 tools along with comparison table with the following questions in mind (9:40-10:25):
  - Should screening for geotargeting use either the Docket No. 7081 (VSPC) Screening Tool or the Docket No. 6290 (DUP) Screening Tool, or third level tool?
    - Do those tools reflect the timing considerations of the current GT process?
  - Should separate tools be used for transmission and distribution?
  - Should the dollar thresholds be the same for transmission and distribution?
  - Should the costs that are considered in screening be overnight capital costs or net present value costs?
    - Should the \$ threshold be reconsidered?
- Break (10:25-10:35)
- GT Process Maps – Review current and proposed state maps with the following questions in mind (10:35-11:30):
  - The process map does not specifically call out the process for Project Specific Action Plans (PSAPs – see reference to 7081 below).
    - Is the proposed process consistent with the process for PSAPs?
    - Do they need to be specifically called out?
  - Timelines – Is there enough time identified to complete the following:
    - Approx 5 months to determine whether a project screens in/out for further analysis
      - Does this lead into the decision as to whether an area needs a “Reliability Plan”? Or does that come later (as depicted)
    - Approx 6 months to create “Reliability Plan” (Sept-March). This could be perceived as being longer (June-March) after screening decision
  - Last meeting discussed removing the step of DU filing of GT annual plan. Should that go into either
    - VSPC annual report filing (as now it would be a reliability plan/PSAP?)
    - Separate reliability plan filing?
- 2013 Area selection process (Transition – How to implement this year?) 11:30-11:45
- Next Steps/Wrap up (11:45-12)

Reference: Language from 7081 MOU related to Project Specific Action Plans

50. For each Reliability Deficiency identified through the process described in Steps 1 through 6, above, or in the initial Plan filed under 30 V.S.A. § 218c(d)(1), the Affected Utility or Utilities, within two years from the publication of the Plan, shall select a solution(s) to address the Reliability Deficiency and the strategy for implementing such, unless a different date is established for the Reliability Deficiency under paragraph 51, below or Attachment F, or the deficiency is subject to paragraph 102, below. If a Reliability Deficiency involves more than one Affected DU, each such Affected DU also is responsible within the same two-year period to resolve any dispute concerning the decisions described in the immediately preceding sentence and the allocation of the cost of the selected solution(s).

51. Following the filing of the Plan, for each identified reliability deficiency or group of deficiencies categorized under Paragraph 6a(ii):

- a. The VSPC shall develop a project-specific action plan that describes a non-generic critical path from identification to resolution, including, but not limited to, dates for key milestones and coordination with anticipated regulatory and stakeholder processes;
- b. The VSPC shall, subject to the rights and obligations of the DUs and all other parties to this MOU, select areas for focused NTA consideration and draft specific plans for moving that development forward; and
- c. The affected VSPC subgroups, VELCO and the DUs, as applicable, will report progress in relation to the project action plan to the full VSPC quarterly and to the Board and Department not less than annually. Where milestones have been modified, progress reports shall state in reasonable detail the reason for such modification.