

**From:** [Steve Litkovitz](#)  
**To:** [Deena Frankel](#); [hbentley@cvps.com](mailto:hbentley@cvps.com)  
**Cc:** [Doug Smith](#); [Terry Cecchini](#)  
**Subject:** RE: VSPC -- NTA screening discussion for Technical Coordinating  
**Date:** Thursday, November 04, 2010 3:39:30 PM

---

Deena and Bruce,

Thanks for taking the lead on this; it is one of those issues that doesn't seem to get easier with time.

I think that your proposed explanation of the purpose of the screening tool and the additions to the Line 2 Notes are helpful. However, I'm still getting hung up on the application of Line 2. Given the scalability of generation, it appears that the Line 2 criteria would never kick out a project. Do you agree? If so, it seems that only the Line 1 and Line 3 criteria have the ability to screen out a project from the application of an NTA analysis.

If I have this right, perhaps one way to deal with this is to have just a two line screening tool, i.e., what is now Line 1 and Line 3. To my mind, this is not very satisfying and could lead to unnecessary work. I think that the whole VSPC process would work better if we could somehow focus on the most promising projects to defer.

Perhaps there is a way to meaningfully bound the amount of generation that is available within a given time horizon similar to the way that demand resources are bound? If so, perhaps the generation subcommittee could be of some assistance?

Steve

**W. Steven Litkovitz**  
*Senior Electrical Engineer*  
**Green Mountain Power Corporation**  
116 Acorn Lane  
Colchester, Vermont 05446-6611  
office: (802)655-8796  
cell: (802)324-3937  
[litkovitz@greenmountainpower.com](mailto:litkovitz@greenmountainpower.com)

---

**From:** Deena Frankel [mailto:[dfrankel@velco.com](mailto:dfrankel@velco.com)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 27, 2010 4:21 PM  
**To:** Deena Frankel; 'hbentley@cvps.com'; 'tbuckley@burlingtonelectric.com'; Terry Cecchini; 'colegocabin@gmavt.net'; 'bhamilton@veic.org'; 'bill.jordan@state.vt.us'; 'mkasti@burlingtonelectric.com'; 'dave.lamont@state.vt.us'; 'bmarks@sheehyvt.com'; 'knolan@burlingtonelectric.com'; Hantz Presume; Doug Smith; 'jspencer@veppi.org'; Poor, Walter; Steve Litkovitz  
**Cc:** Kim Pritchard  
**Subject:** VSPC -- NTA screening discussion for Technical Coordinating

In preparation for the November 22 Technical Coordinating Subcommittee I have prepared a memo ([linked here](#)) to frame the question of how to move forward with the recommendations in the 2010 Docket 7081 evaluation regarding the VSPC's NTA screening tool. Application of the screening tool has continued to be the subject of debate and disagreement as it has been applied to reliability deficiencies identified in the 2009 Long-Range Transmission Plan, underscoring the need to follow through on the recommendations made in the 2010 evaluation.

To move the discussion forward, I asked Bruce Bentley to draft some proposed clarifying and explanatory language. His proposals are incorporated into the memo.

I invite your reactions by email, and would like to discuss this issue at the Technical Coordinating meeting November 22.

Deena

DEENA L. FRANKEL | STRATEGIC SYSTEM PLANNING FACILITATOR | 802.282.2631  
[dfrankel@velco.com](mailto:dfrankel@velco.com) | VELCO | 366 Pinnacle Ridge Road, Rutland VT 05701

---

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to who they are addressed. Furthermore, this e-mail and its attachments may contain CEII. The copying and distribution of CEII is strictly prohibited without the written consent of VELCO. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any retention, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication and/or its attachments is strictly prohibited. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error and then delete it. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The company accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.