

VERMONT SYSTEM PLANNING COMMITTEE

**MEETING MINUTES
JUNE 10, 2008 9:30 AM
MONTPELIER ROOM, CAPITOL PLAZA**

APPROVAL OF THE MARCH, 2008, MEETING MINUTES

A regular meeting of the Vermont System Planning Committee (VSPC) was held on June 10, 2008, at Capitol Plaza located in Montpelier, Vermont. Deena Frankel called the meeting to order at 9:40 a.m. Bruce Bentley moved and Harry Abendroth seconded approval of the minutes of the last meeting, which were approved without objection.

INTRODUCTIONS

Members present in person and by phone introduced themselves. A list of attendees by sector appears on page 7 of these minutes. Ms. Frankel pointed out that VELCO had obtained new telephone conference equipment to improve sound quality for those participating by phone. She also pointed out that the meeting was being recorded via the conference calling service to assist in preparation of the meeting minutes and any other need to refer back to the meeting record. Bill Powell, who was participating by phone, indicated that the sound quality and ability to hear all the participants was improved over previous meetings.

SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

Procedures Subcommittee

David Mullett, chairperson of the Procedures Subcommittee, presented proposed changes to the Procedural Rules of the VSPC. A friendly amendment was suggested by Ben Marks and accepted by Mr. Mullett to clarify that the authority of the secretary or alternate secretary is "as duly authorized" by the VSPC.

MOTION TO AMEND THE PROCEDURAL RULES OF THE VERMONT SYSTEM PLANNING COMMITTEE AS AMENDED: Mr. Marks moved and Richard Suitor seconded. The amended Procedural Rules were adopted unanimously.

MOTION TO NOMINATE A SECRETARY AS PROVIDED IN THE PROCEDURAL RULES: Duncan Hastings moved and Hantz Pr sum  seconded a motion to appoint a secretary to the VSPC as provided in the procedural rules. The motion was approved unanimously.

MOTION TO APPOINT DEENA FRANKEL AS SECRETARY TO THE VSPC: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Pr sum  seconded to appoint Ms. Frankel as Secretary to the VSPC. The motion was approved unanimously.

MOTION TO APPOINT KIMBERLY PRITCHARD AS ALTERNATE SECRETARY: Mr. Hastings moved and Mr. Bentley seconded the appointment of Kimberly Pritchard as alternate secretary. The motion was approved unanimously.

A newly revised draft of the Information Management Protocol (Protocol) was presented by Mr. Mullett. In order to give members an opportunity to review the latest draft, it was agreed that discussion would be tabled until the afternoon session. A general discussion was held amongst members regarding the Protocol and how confidential information would be defined. The due date for filing the Protocol with the Public

DRAFT

Service Board (PSB) is June 20, 2008. If the filing cannot be made at that time, a second extension would be required. The revised draft Protocol was e-mailed to Mr. Powell, attending via teleconference.

MOTION TO TABLE DISCUSSION ON INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROTOCOL UNTIL AFTER LUNCH: Mr. Suitor moved and Ken Nolan seconded moving discussion on the Protocol until after lunch in order to give members the opportunity to review the revised draft. The motion was approved unanimously.

NTA Screening Tool Subcommittee

Mr. Bentley, chair of the NTA Screening Tool Subcommittee, presented the Form for Selection of Transmission Analysis-Identified Projects for Non-Transmission Alternative Evaluation (NTA Screening Tool). The purpose of the NTA Screening Tool is to identify big projects that can be deferred or altered, where the deferral or alternative could save a significant amount of money. The savings then justifies studying the non-transmission alternatives. Mr. Bentley reported that the Subcommittee spent a significant amount of time fine tuning the Notes to Lines 1, 2 and 3 of the NTA Screening Tool. In general, if reducing the assumed load by 25% would eliminate the need for the project, it was considered a good indication that the proposed project is driven by growth. If the load can be reduced by 25% and a reliability issue remains, then it is unlikely that the need is caused by growth. Mr. Bentley indicated that the Subcommittee decided to keep it simple, and the NTA Screening Tool presented is the one the Subcommittee recommends the VSPC adopt.

Mr. Marks asked the relationship between this form and the screening tool attached to the MOU. Mr. Bentley reported that the screening tool attached to the MOU is still in place for Docket 6290, and still in effect for distribution projects. He further stated that the Subcommittee is proposing that the VSPC use the NTA Screening Tool presented going forward to decided if utilities do a non-transmission analysis or not.

A general discussion was held on the use of "initial" peak load and "existing" peak load and the decision to use 25%. A discussion was also held as to whether or not the table contained in Line 2 should be removed.

MOTION TO ACCEPT NTA SCREENING TOOL AS PRESENTED: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Nolan seconded. The motion failed.

MOTION TO ACCEPT NTA SCREENING TOOL WITH THE REMOVAL OF THE TABLE IN NOTES AND EXAMPLES FOR LINE 2: Kim Jones moved and John Spencer seconded. The motion failed. Mr. Pr sum  emphasized that the table did add value and should not be removed.

MOTION TO REMOVE "1 YEAR" FROM NOTES AND EXAMPLES FOR LINE 2 OF THE NTA SCREENING TOOL: Doug Smith moved and Mr. Bentley seconded. The motion failed. A discussion was held amongst the members and project specific examples were used with the screening tool. A further discussion was held on how transmission providers would implement the screening tool.

MOTION TO REMOVE "1 YEAR" AND CHANGE IT TO "1-3 YEARS" IN THE TABLE NOTES AND EXAMPLES FOR LINE 2 OF THE NTA SCREENING TOOL: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Bentley seconded. The motion was approved unanimously.

MOTION TO AMEND LANGUAGE IN THE NOTES AND EXAMPLES FOR LINE 2 CLARIFYING USING PEAK LOAD OF THE "AFFECTED AREA": Mr. Bentley moved for additional language changes clarifying using peak load of the "affected area" as a measure and Mr. Suitor seconded. After discussion it was decided to dispose of the motion until after lunch to give members time to finalize the proposed language to address the group's comments during discussion.

DRAFT

MOTION TO TABLE FURTHER CHANGES TO THE NTA SCREENING TOOL UNTIL AFTER LUNCH: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Bentley seconded the motion to table further changes to the NTA Screening Tool until after lunch. The motion was approved unanimously.

Transmission Subcommittee

Mr. Pr sum , chairperson, presented the Project Priority List and explained how the determinations were made for the order of priority. Projects 1-4 were based on the required filing dates with the PSB. The other projects were based on deficiencies, load levels and the stage of analysis. Jenny Cole expressed concern that Priority 4, the Middlebury transformer project, indicated that the NTA screening analysis had been completed. She pointed out that previous documents had indicated that the parties were awaiting updated information from CVPS, and that Middlebury was the first project to have been presented to the VSPC. Ms. Cole questioned the status. Ms. Jones explained that the project had been delayed and anticipated presenting to the VSPC at the September quarterly meeting. Ms. Jones further indicated that the new NTA screening tool, as adopted, will be used. Ms. Cole questioned whether changes should be made to clarify the status.

Ms. Jones stated that there were dates missing from Priority 5. The dates that are missing include: the transmission analysis in December, 2008; the NTA in March, 2009 and the solution selection in June, 2009.

MOTION TO AMEND TO ADD THE DATES IN PRIORITY 5 AS PRESENTED: Ms. Jones moved and Mr. Abendroth seconded. The motion was approved unanimously.

Mr. Allen requested to go back to Ms. Cole's point and asked if language could be added to clarify when review has been completed by the VSPC. Mr. Bentley recommended adding a milestone as to when projects are reviewed by the VSPC. A discussion was held as to whether a fourth milestone should be added for all projects. No projects, other than the Middlebury project, were identified as requiring a similar clarification. It was recommended that a simple way to resolve this is to indicate that the solution selection is pending VSPC approval. Ms. Frankel pointed out the two alternative ways of viewing the milestones that were under discussion: (1) Has the project been through the VSPC; and (2) Has the utility completed its analysis. A general discussion was held on possible solutions to the concerns.

MOTION TO AMEND THE PRIORITY 4 OF PROJECT PRIORITY LIST TO STATE CVPS HAS COMPLETED ANALYSIS BUT THE NTA SCREENING AND SOLUTION SELECTION WILL BE DISCUSSED WITH THE VSPC BY THE END OF 2008. Ms. Jones moved and Ms. Cole seconded. Ms. Hastings confirmed that the motion did not include the addition of a project milestone. Ms. Frankel confirmed. The motion was approved unanimously.

A general discussion was held regarding the Project Priority List. Ms. Frankel stated that a project priority list must be completed and submitted to the PSB each time a long-term transmission plan update is completed (every three years), and therefore there will be future opportunity for changes.

MOTION TO APPROVE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST WITH THE TWO AMENDMENTS THAT WERE ADOPTED AND TO SUBMIT TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD: The motion was adopted unanimously.

DRAFT

Procedures Subcommittee

Morris Silver presented the Protocol as revised by the Procedures Subcommittee during the lunch break. A general discussion was held regarding the Protocol. Ms. Frankel stated that there would need to be a waiver of the rules if adoption of the Protocol was being considered since this draft is a considerably different draft than presented for the meeting and it was not provided in advance of the meeting. Mr. Silver e-mailed the most recent draft to Mr. Powell who was participating via teleconference.

MOTION TO WAIVE THE RULES REGARDING THE NOTICE REQUIREMENT TO PRESENT THE NEWLY REVISED INFORMATION PROTOCOL: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Bentley seconded the motion to waive the rules so the newly revised Information Management Protocol could be presented to the group. Mr. Hastings expressed concern of waiving the rules. Mr. Suitor agreed with Mr. Hastings' concern in general, however, he felt that this waiver of the rules was within the bounds of what is expected from the floor. Mr. Nolan offered a point of information that by adopting this Protocol it is only allowing it to be filed with the PSB for comment. Anyone with a problem with it has an opportunity to raise concerns with the PSB. The motion was approved.

MOTION TO ADOPT THE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROTOCOL AS AMENDED AND PRESENTED: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Bentley seconded the motion to approve the Protocol as amended and presented. It was noted that the party asserting confidentiality has the burden to mark documents and to prove confidentiality if challenged. There was no opposition and the motion was approved unanimously.

NTA Screening Tool Subcommittee

Mr. Bentley presented the NTA Screening Tool as amended by the Subcommittee during the lunch break to address concerns of the group. Mr. Bentley explained that changes were made to clarify that the load is at the time of need for the preferred transmission alternative.

MOTION TO APPROVE NTA SCREENING TOOL AS AMENDED: Mr. Suitor moved and Mr. Bentley seconded the motion to approve the NTA Screening Tool as amended. Terry Cecchini expressed concern that there would be an NTA analysis for everything. Ms. Jones presented examples of projects that would be screened out. A general discussion was held regarding the interpretation of "time of need." There was no objection and the motion was approved unanimously.

MOTION TO ADOPT THE NTA SCREENING TOOL AS AMENDED AND SUBMIT TO THE PSB: The motion was approved unanimously.

Re-draft of Letter from VSPC to ISO-NE

Ms. Frankel presented the revised letter from the VSPC to ISO-NE recommending that ISO-NE propose and adopt procedures to revise the process for determining what costs are eligible for region-wide cost-allocation under FERC Electric Tariff No. 3.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE LETTER TO ISO NEW ENGLAND AS AMENDED SINCE MARCH MEETING AND INCLUDED IN JUNE MEETING PACKAGE: Mr. Suitor moved and Ms. Cole seconded the motion to approve the letter to ISO New England. There was a general discussion regarding the letter. Mr. Suitor inquired as to whether the letter that was previously circulated via e-mail ever went out. Ms. Frankel confirmed that the letter did not go out because the March letter needed revision by the VSPC, but that electronic signatures had been collected. Janette Bombardier requested confirmation that her signature was not included. Ms. Frankel confirmed that Ms. Bombardier's name had been removed. There was no objection and the motion was approved unanimously.

DRAFT

Attachment F of the MOU

Ms. Frankel pointed out that dates in Attachment F of the MOU are different than the Project Priority List. Any changes to the dates that were approved by the PSB may require further approval of the PSB if they are changed. Any request for approval of the changed dates would have to come from the parties to Docket 7081, however, Ms. Frankel stated that it would be helpful to be able to assert to the PSB that the modifications have the approval of the VSPC. Ms. Frankel presented a draft letter to show the concept of VELCO's intention.

MOTION TO APPROVE LETTER TO PSB TO AMEND DATES: Ms. Cole moved and Mr. Pr sum  seconded. There was no objection and the motion was approved unanimously.

Generation Subcommittee

James Gibbons presented the report of the Generation Subcommittee. The administrative changes to the Charter as requested at the March, 2008, meeting have been made. Mr. Gibbons announced the addition of Eileen Simolardes of Vermont Gas Systems to the Generation Subcommittee. The committee now consists of Mr. Gibbons, Chris Cole of GMP, Dave Lamont of the DPS, John Spencer VEPPi and SPEED Facilitator, Janette Bombardier of IBM, Ron Gagnon of Barton Electric, and Shawn Enterline from BED. It was noted that construction costs have changed significantly. There have also been substantial changes in the energy markets. The Generation Subcommittee has requested a meeting with VELCO to discuss how VELCO models generation. This meeting is anticipated to take place in July, 2008.

Energy Efficiency & Forecasting Subcommittee

Mr. Allen, chair of the Energy Efficiency & Forecasting Subcommittee, reported on the meetings of the Subcommittee. Most of the focus has been on increasing communication and coordination between the VELCO load forecast and the Energy Efficiency Utility (EEU) demand-side management forecast. Mr. Allen reported that the PSB is in the process of setting the budget for the EEU. Mr. Bentley will be coordinating utility input on EEU geo-targeting. Mr. Allen also emphasized the importance for the committee to be aware and participate in this matter with the PSB.

- i. Geo-targeting. Mr. Bentley reported that there are four geographically targeted (geo-targeted) areas: Newport, St. Albans, Southern Loop and Essex/Colchester. Mr. Bentley recommended that the group start thinking now about the years 2010 and 2011, as well as where the growth areas are, but should not be limited in its thinking to this factor. He recommends geo-targeting for projects that might be deferred, and to start thinking, because of long lead times, about high growth areas even before we have the projects there. Mr. Bentley pointed out that the legislative language refers to "targeting," not just geo-targeting, so the group may want to consider targeting peak versus energy. Mr. Bentley suggests studying the areas we have for 2009, and for 2010 and 2011 to talk as an organization about what areas to geo-target in the future. If the group decides that the extra value from geo-targeting is worth it or that targeting peak isn't worth it, we could revisit the recommendations. Mr. Bentley emphasized the need to think through this process carefully and to come up with a way to give guidance to the PSB and EVT. Mr. Bentley further recommended taking a look at the load forecast when it is available and comparing it to the current project list to determine what might be deferrable and where efforts should be targeted. Mr. Allen question which subcommittee should be working on this. Mr. Bentley recommended the Energy Efficiency & Forecasting and Transmission Subcommittees should be working on this. Ms. Cole questioned whether the subcommittees would be make recommendations on behalf of the VSPC and where goal setting would happen. Ms. Frankel recommended taking this back to

the Technical Coordinating Committee. No concerns were reported regarding this process, and it was decided that the Technical Coordinating Committee would address the group's concerns.

- ii. Mr. Pr sum  reported that VELCO has hired ITRON. ITRON presented its forecast approach and assumptions to the Energy Efficiency & Forecasting Subcommittee which was also attended by DPS, EVT and other utilities. It is anticipated that a forecast will be available the week of June 16, 2008. This process allows coordination between DSM forecasters and Load forecasters together with the opportunity for the VSPC to have input in the forecast approach and assumptions. A summary was provided of the Load Forecast Approach and the impact of the Energy Act of 2005. In particular, it was noted that the new lighting standards have the most significant impact on residential load. A general discussion was held amongst members regarding the methodology.

John Plunkett reported trying to establish a standardized procedure for getting information and synchronizing with VELCO's 20-year plan. EVT is working on developing a state-wide analysis first. Mr. Allen indicated that EVT and load forecasters are working together to ensure no double counting and no oversights. Having a good framework and open communications between EVT and VELCO going forward is important. This Subcommittee is planning to meeting with EVT together with the Transmission Subcommittee within the few weeks. Mr. Smith questioned the shape of end use savings and the Subcommittee's view of the quality of the information being received. Mr. Allen reported that often regional shapes are used. The issue and determination of shapes is very challenging. Mr. Plunkett reported that they have developed and modified a variety of load shapes. A general discussion was held amongst members on how to better determine load shapes.

- iii. Mr. Silver reported on the EEU restructuring workshop process. Development of a new model has been in the works with PSB for about a year. The group is very close to a draft recommendation that would restructure the EEU so that it is an appointed entity rather than a contractor. This structure would provide more discretion about what the EEU did and it would be more of a utility and less of a contractor. The materials can be found on the PSB webpage, and they are very close to a final recommendation. In the EEU Workshop consideration was given to the fact that, to complete the NTAs, you want the EEU to provide the availability of savings and major costs. The most recent draft contains new proposals for the PSB to consider that would give the EEU more of a utility function and less of a contractor role. It would also continue to provide demand side resources. They are trying to develop language in this new model that would explain how the EEU would work and how it would be budgeted. Mr. Allen emphasized the importance of this process in relation to what the VSPC is trying to accomplish. Ms. Bombardier raised the issue of cost, and Mr. Silver indicated that they are trying to address this through performance measures. He further stated that the goals are to encourage net benefits for ratepayers and to do it efficiently.

Public Participation Subcommittee

Ms. Cole, chairperson, presented the Subcommittee report. Ms. Cole recognized the work Ms. Frankel has done on the website. The Subcommittee met in April and provided comment on the website and how the information can be made available to the public. At that meeting the Subcommittee also discussed putting together information packets to make people aware of the VSPC. Ms. Cole has started a contact list and has received positive response from people wanting to know more about the VSPC. Ms. Frankel reported on the recent changes to the website including making the front page an effective portal to statewide project information. This information will be updated to conform to the Project Priority List. Ms. Frankel emphasized that the website is a work in progress and will continue to be developed. The goal is for the website to be an effective tool for the committee and general public. With the Information Management

DRAFT

Protocol in place, the member's area will also be activated. Ms. Frankel encouraged members to provide comments and recommendations.

2009 Long Range Transmission Plan

Ms. Frankel reported the time frame for the development of the 2009 Long Term Transmission Plan (LRTP). VELCO has to file the LRTP with the PSB by July 1, 2009. The MOU specifies two different types of input processes: (1) VSPC and (2) public input. Efforts are being made to coordinate those two different processes and ensure adequate time for each. The LRTP is being developed and the first draft is expected November, 2008, with materials going to the VSPC for the December 9 meeting. A special meeting of the VSPC is being planned for mid to late January for consideration of the LRTP. VELCO will incorporate the comments of the VSPC and produce an additional draft that goes into a public comment period occurring between March 1, 2009, and May 30, 2009. The public comments would be incorporated into the LRTP and the final draft would be submitted to the PSB on or before July 1, 2009. The Public Participation Subcommittee is working to consider a communication plan for the public input process. The staff will be bringing a draft communication plan to the Public Participation Subcommittee at its next meeting. The group had no comment on the timing of this process. A meeting date will need to be set for the January special meeting.

Technical Coordinating Subcommittee

Ms. Frankel presented a flowchart showing how a project that is screened out by the NTA screening tool would go through the VSPC. This also addresses concerns expressed earlier on whether or not projects that were screened out would be reviewed by the VSPC. The flowchart depicts a process in which a project is screened out of full NTA analysis. The depicted process would have the utility share its rationale for screening the project out with the VSPC by bringing the matter to the Technical Coordinating Subcommittee, which would coordinate how members would hear that rationale and have an opportunity to comment. A similar flowchart will be created for projects that are "screened in." Ms. Frankel emphasized that the flowchart is a tool for communicating the VSPC process. Mr. Cecchini questioned whether the VSPC wanted to see all projects that are screened out. Ms. Frankel stated the MOU provides a provision that a utility does not have to go to the VSPC at all if there is reason not to do so, but she further stated that when that project goes to the PSB for approval the utility will need to explain how the rationale for not using the VSPC process. A general discussion was held regarding whether or not the VSPC must or should review all projects. Ms. Frankel also stated that the chart in the Project Priority List is a road map of the work ahead of the VSPC. The expectation on the projects ahead is that, if there is not a full NTA analysis, there will be a process in place for the VSPC to understand the decision to screen the project out; and if the project is going to require a full NTA analysis, the committee would set up project work group to review the NTA analysis. Ms. Frankel questioned whether the group wanted the Technical Coordinating Subcommittee to determine what project study groups would need to be developed based on the Project Priority List including identifying the lead utility, time frame and responsibilities. Mr. Nolan indicated that the MOU states that the VSPC will identify affected utilities and the utilities would pick a lead. It was decided that the Technical Subcommittee would address these issues and concerns at its next meeting.

PROJECT STUDY GROUP REPORTS

Coolidge Connector Study Group

Mr. Bentley, chairperson, reported there were no new developments.

DRAFT

Gorge Area Reinforcement (GAR)

Mr. Marks reported there has been a slight reduction in the project scope based on details of how the line reconducting played out. A letter has gone to affected utilities and landowners explaining the changes. Most of the line will not be reconductured. The project is on track and the group is working on preparing the filing with the Public Service Board. The project is large and complex. Generation options were considered in the NTA analysis. Mr. Smith reported further on the NTA analysis, and indicated that the petitioners will be filing a presentation on non-transmission alternatives that address energy efficiency and demand response. It appears that, given an aggressive path for studying energy efficiency which includes the possibility of continued geo-targeting, it is unlikely that energy efficiency and demand response alone will provide for any meaningful deferral of projects. However, Mr. Marks indicated that there will be a recommendation into the investigation of generation capacity at GMP's Gorge station. The combination of the existing McNeil unit together with a generator at the Gorge station could represent an opportunity to cost-effectively defer part of the project. Mr. Smith reported that he was not confident of the feasibility due to permitting and costs of a new generation unit at the Gorge station as an alternative that can be relied on. However, more details will be provided about the NTA in the June 30 filing.

Lyndonville Update

Mr. Mason reported on the Lyndonville Electric (LED) project including a history of the project and the need for a substation due to substantial load increase. He also reported reliability concerns and the Higgins Hill substation located in St. Johnsbury, which also serves Lyndonville's load. Lyndonville requested VELCO to perform a feasibility study regarding load growth projections and reliability issues in early 2007. The first draft of feasibility study was received in October, 2007, and the final in March, 2008. CVPS, Lyndonville, The GINN Company and VELCO have been meeting on this for about a year.

Ms. Moulton provided an overview of the project. A working group will be formed and it is anticipated the project will be brought to the VSPC next year. Ms. Moulton presented on the existing system reliability exposure and various scenarios that could result in losses of both Lyndonville and St. Johnsbury. Currently, LED and CVPS are working to determine the best transmission alternative. Once a final proposed T&D Alternative is chosen an NTA screening will be performed. A discussion was held regarding application of the NTA screening tool. Once a T&D Alternative is chosen, LED will request that the VSPC schedule a workshop to review both the T&D study and the NTA screening.

Ms. Frankel clarified that since the NTA screening has not been done, the results could be either sharing the results of a screening that indicated a full NTA analysis is not required or the setting up of a study group to actually work with VELCO on the NTA. Ms. Moulton stated that it could be either depending on the results of analysis.

Mr. Mason reported that they are trying to get a confidentiality agreement between the parties. Ms. Cole inquired about potential changes to the Burke Mountain development plan and potential uncertainty. Mr. Mason indicated that changes are expected, but believed the current owners were committed to the project. Ms. Moulton said that Burke Mountain had made changes based on the construction schedule, but that the demand had not changed. These construction changes are considered by VELCO in its analysis.

There was a question as to whether alternative generation had been discussed with Burke Mountain. Mr. Mason reported that he had questioned them on wind alternatives since they had purchased the rights, but nothing has been confirmed. Mr. Mason believes that it will be discussed as part of the Act 250 permitting process. A general discussion was held regarding the development.

Ms. Frankel asked for an indication of those who would be interested in participating in a study group.

DRAFT

NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Frankel acknowledged the contributions of Ms. Cole in developing the logo of the VSPC.

Change in Meeting Dates.

There was a request for a change of the Tuesday meeting dates already set. Mr. Sutor expressed concern that any change of dates should not create a conflict for others such as the standard VPPSA meeting, which is held on Thursdays. Mr. Bentley suggested Wednesday. There was no objection to moving the meeting dates to the following Wednesdays:

September 10, 2008

December 10, 2008

March 11, 2009

June 10, 2009

September 9, 1009

December 9, 2009

Ms. Frankel requested the group to consider the date for a Special Meeting to be held in January, 2009, as part of the VSPC input process on the VELCO LRTP. The meeting was set for January 21, 2009.

ADJORNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40 pm.

ATTENDANCE

*Indicates voting member at this meeting

**Indicates Alternate

Public Sector

- *Jenny Cole, Public Member- Residential
- *Janette Bombardier, Public Member –
Commerical & Industrial
- *Sandra Levine, Public Member- Environmental

Transmission Utility (VELCO)

- *Hantz Presume, VELCO
- Kim Moulton, VELCO

Distribution Utilities Providing Transmission (CVPS, GMP, VEC)

- *Bruce Bentley, CVPS
- Kim Jones, CVPS
- Morris Silver, CVPS
- *Doug Smith, GMP
- Ben Marks, GMP
- Terry Cecchini, GMP
- *Harry Abendroth, VEC

Large Transmission-Dependent Distribution Utilities (BED, Vermont Marble, WEC)

- *Ken Nolan, BED
- *Bill Powell, WEC (phone)

Transmission Dependent Distribution Utilities (Municipals)

- *Richard Suito, Village of Northfield Electric
Village of Ludlow Electric
Proxy for Enosburg Electric
- *Craig Myotte, Village of Morrisville
Town of Hardwick
- *Duncan Hastings, Town of Johnson Electric
- *Kenneth Mason, Village of Lyndonville Electric
- *Ellen Burt, Town of Stowe Electric

Non-Voting Members

- Riley Allen, DPS
- Dave Lamont, DPS
- John Spencer, VEPPPI (SPEED facilitator)
- Bill Jordan, DPS
- Dave Mullett, VPPSA
- Hans Mertens, DPS
- James Gibbons, VPPSA
- Steve Litkovitz, DPS
- John Plunkett

Staff

- Deena Frankel, VELCO
- Kimberly Pritchard, VELCO